

SINGULARITIES OF SCHRÖDER MAPS AND UNHYPERBOLICITY OF RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

DAVID DRASIN AND YŪSUKE OKUYAMA

Dedicated to Professor Walter K. Hayman on his eightieth birthday

ABSTRACT. We study transcendental singularities of a Schröder map arising from a rational function f , using results from complex dynamics and Nevanlinna theory. These maps are transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order in the complex plane. We show that their transcendental singularities lie over the set where f is not semihyperbolic (unhyperbolic). In addition, if they are direct, then they lie over only attracting periodic points of f , and moreover, if f is a polynomial, then both direct and indirect singularities lie over attracting, parabolic and Cremer periodic points of f . We also obtain concrete examples of both kinds of transcendental singularities of Schröder maps as well as a new proof of the Pommerenke-Levin-Yoccoz inequality and a new formulation of the Fatou conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a rational function on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ of degree $d = \deg f \geq 2$, i.e., the critical set $C(f) := \{f'(c) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Denote its k -th iterate ($k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) by f^k . For details of complex dynamics, see, for example, [14], [15], [20]. For every *repelling* periodic point z_0 of f of period p , there exists a unique meromorphic map h on $\mathbb{C}$, which is called the *Schröder map of f at z_0* , such that $h(0) = z_0$, $h'(0) = 1$ and

$$(1.1) \quad f^p \circ h = h \circ \lambda$$

on $\mathbb{C}$. Here the multiplier $\lambda := (f^p)'(z_0)$ ($|\lambda| > 1$) also denotes multiplication by λ on $\mathbb{C}$. Using complex dynamics and Nevanlinna theory, we study the relationship between *singularities* of Schröder maps h and the *unhyperbolicity* of f . Following Carleson-Jones-Yoccoz [4], we say that f is *not semihyperbolic* or, more conveniently, *unhyperbolic* at $a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ if

Date: July 30, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37F10; Secondary 30D05, 30D35, 37F15.

Key words and phrases. Schröder map, transcendental singularity, unhyperbolicity, complex dynamics, Nevanlinna theory, Pommerenke-Levin-Yoccoz inequality, Fatou conjecture.

for every open neighborhood U of a ,

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \max_{V^{-k}} \deg(f^k : V^{-k} \rightarrow U) = \infty,$$

where V^{-k} ranges over all components of $f^{-k}(U)$. We denote by $UH(f)$ (unhyperbolic) the set of all such $a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Notation 1.3. $U_r(a)$ is the spherical open disk centered at $a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and of radius $r > 0$. Let $F(f)$ and $J(f)$ be the Fatou and Julia sets of f , respectively, and let $AT(f)$, $PB(f)$ and $CM(f)$ be the attracting, parabolic and Cremer periodic points of f , respectively.

If g is transcendental meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$, we can consider more general singularities than its critical set $C(g)$: let $\mathfrak{N}$ be the set of *decreasing* families $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\}_{r>0} \subset 2^{\mathbb{C}}$, so that $A_s \subset A_r$ if $s < r$. Let $TS(g) \subset \mathfrak{N}$ be the set of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that there exists (the unique) $a = a_{\mathcal{A}} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that for every $r > 0$, A_r is a component of $g^{-1}(U_r(a))$ and in addition that $\bigcap_{r>0} A_r = \emptyset$ (cf. [3]). Each $\mathcal{A} \in TS(g)$ is called a *transcendental singularity* of g , and we extend g to the map from $\mathbb{C} \cup TS(g)$ to $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ by setting $g(\mathcal{A}) := a$ for $\mathcal{A} \in TS(g)$. Following terminology due to Iversen [8], $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *direct* if the point $g(\mathcal{A})$ is not contained in $g(A_r)$ for some $r > 0$, and *indirect* otherwise.

For a sequence $(z_k) \subset \mathbb{C}$, we say that $z_k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ if for each $r > 0$, $z_k \in A_r$ for all large $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, for an arc $\gamma : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we say that $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if for each $r > 0$, $\gamma(t) \in A_r$ for all large $t > 0$. We call γ an *asymptotic arc* of g if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) = \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(\gamma(t)) \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ exists. For every asymptotic arc γ of g , there is the (unique) $\mathcal{A} \in TS(g)$ *associated with* γ , so that $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Conversely, for every $\mathcal{A} \in TS(g)$, there is an asymptotic arc γ of g to which $\mathcal{A}$ is associated; indeed many such arcs.

Remarks. This definition of $\mathcal{A}$ slightly modifies the classical one (eg. in [16, §XI]), where $g(\mathcal{A})$ is called a transcendental singularity of g^{-1} . In the study of entire-meromorphic maps, the term *asymptotic curve* is more common than asymptotic arc, but we prefer the latter since it seems more in keeping with usage in dynamics.

For $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\}, \mathcal{B} = \{B_r\} \in \mathfrak{N}$, we say $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}$ if

- for every $r > 0$, there exists $s > 0$ such that $A_s \subset B_r$,
- for every $r > 0$, there exists $s > 0$ such that $B_s \subset A_r$.

This defines an equivalence relation on $\mathfrak{N}$.

When g is a Schröder map h as (1.1), we call the map $\Lambda = \Lambda_h$ below the *natural* extension of the multiplication action of λ on $\mathbb{C}$ since from (1.5), we have $h \circ \Lambda = f^p \circ h$ on $TS(h)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let f and h be as above. Then there exists a map $\Lambda = \Lambda_h : TS(h) \rightarrow TS(h)$ such that for each $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\} \in TS(h)$,*

$$(1.5) \quad \Lambda \mathcal{A} \sim \{\lambda A_r\}_{r>0}.$$

The map Λ is bijective and preserves the direct or indirect character of $\mathcal{A} \in TS(h)$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}$ is direct if and only if $\Lambda\mathcal{A}$ is direct.

Definition 1.6. An $\mathcal{A} \in TS(h)$ is *periodic* if it is periodic under Λ_h .

Theorem 1.4 is shown by a careful chase of the functional equation (1.1). For reader's convenience, we include the proof in §3.

In some ways, this paper may be viewed as a continuation of [5], which studies the growth with k of the proximity function $m(a, f^k)$ as a varies in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus as in [5], we consider the *omega-limit set*

$$\omega_f(c) := \{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}; \exists k_j \rightarrow \infty \text{ such that } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f^{k_j}(c) = z\}$$

for each $c \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, and define the *Mañé set* of f as

$$M(f) := \bigcup_{\substack{c \in C(f) \cap J(f) \\ \text{such that } c \in \omega_f(c)}} \omega_f(c).$$

We will recall in §2 that $CM(f) \subset M(f)$ and that $AT(f) \cup PB(f) \cup M(f)$ coincides with $UH(f)$.

One of our principal results is:

Theorem 1. *Let h be a Schröder map of the rational function f . Then*

$$(1.7) \quad h(TS(h)) \subset AT(f) \cup PB(f) \cup M(f),$$

$$(1.8) \quad h(\{\mathcal{A} \in TS(h); \text{periodic}\}) \subset AT(f) \cup PB(f) \cup CM(f),$$

$$(1.9) \quad h(\{\mathcal{A} \in TS(h); \text{direct}\}) \subset AT(f).$$

In general, the inclusion (1.9) is proper. As an example, we have:

Theorem 2. *Let h be a Schröder map of the rational function f at a repelling fixed point z_0 of f of multiplier λ , let D an immediate basin of $a \in AT(f) \cup PB(f)$, and suppose that a component W of $h^{-1}(D)$ is periodic (under λ) in that $\lambda^N W = W$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (so $f^N(D) = D$). Then for every $w_0 \in W$, there is an asymptotic arc $\gamma : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow W$ of h with $\gamma(0) = w_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(\gamma(t)) = a$ such that for every $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$,*

$$(1.10) \quad \gamma(t+1) = \lambda^N \gamma(t).$$

Suppose that $a \in AT(f)$. If $f^{-N}(a) \cap D \neq \{a\}$, then $W \cap h^{-1}(a) \neq \emptyset$. If $\gamma(0) = w_0 \in W \cap h^{-1}(a)$, then $\mathcal{A} \in TS(h)$ associated with this γ is indirect. If there exists an indirect $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\} \in TS(h)$ with $h(\mathcal{A}) = a$ and $A_r \subset W$ for some $r > 0$, then $D \cap C(f^N) \neq \{a\}$.

Replacing f by f^n for an appropriate $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may apply Theorem 2 to every immediate basin D of $a \in AT(f) \cup PB(f)$, by a theorem of Przytycki-Zdunik [19, Theorem A] (see also Pommerenke [18, §2] when D is simply connected): ∂D contains a dense subset of repelling periodic points z_0 of f accessible from D along an arc $\Gamma = \Gamma_{z_0} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{D}$ such

that $\Gamma(0) = z_0$, $\Gamma((0, 1]) \subset D$ and $\Gamma \subset f^n(\Gamma)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that z_0 is fixed by f^n , and put $\lambda := (f^n)'(z_0)$. For all small $s > 0$, the Schröder map h of f^n at z_0 is univalent on $\{|w| < s\}$, and we can assume that $\Gamma \subset (f^n)(\Gamma) \subset h(\{|w| < s\})$. Choose W as $(h(\{|w| < s\}))^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset W$. Then we have $W = \lambda W$.

Corollary 1. *For every $a \in AT(f) \cup PB(f)$ of the rational function f , there exists a Schröder map h of f with $a \in h(TS(h))$.*

We apply these results to two concrete dynamical issues.

Pommerenke-Levin-Yoccoz inequality. Suppose that the rational function f is a polynomial and that $p = 1$, i.e., $f(z_0) = z_0$. Then h is an entire function of order $\rho = (\log d)/\log |\lambda|$ (see §2). Let D_∞ be the immediate basin of ∞ , and let $q_\infty = q_\infty(h)$ be the number of components of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$. Eremenko and Levin [6] proved that $q_\infty \leq 2\rho \vee 1$, that every component of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$ is periodic under λ and ([6, p. 1260]) that if J_0 is the component of $J(f)$ with $z_0 \in J_0$ and

$$(EL) \quad J_0 \neq \{z_0\}$$

(eg., if there are at least two components of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$), then

$$(1.11) \quad q_\infty \leq 2\rho.$$

A *spiral version* of Denjoy's conjecture (see Theorem 6.2 below), which was considered by Ahlfors [1] and proved unambiguously by Hayman/Jenkins (cf. [7, Theorem 8.21], [9]) establishes a refinement of (1.11): condition (EL) implies that for every asymptotic arc $\gamma : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of h with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(\gamma(t)) = \infty$,

$$(1.12) \quad q_\infty \cdot \left(1 + \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\arg \gamma(t)}{\log |\gamma(t)|} \right)^2 \right) \leq 2\rho.$$

As a special case, (1.12) has a *dynamical* implication: for each component W of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$, let q_W be the least $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^N W = W$, and let $\gamma_W : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow W$ be an asymptotic arc of h obtained by Theorem 2. Then by (1.10), for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(1.13) \quad \gamma_W(k) = \lambda^{k \cdot q_W} \gamma_W(0).$$

If (EL) holds, then we can define a single-valued branch $\arg_W(\cdot)$ of $\arg(\cdot)$ on W , and there exists a (unique) $p_W \in \{0, 1, \dots, q_W - 1\}$ such that for some branch of $\arg \lambda$,

$$(1.14) \quad \arg_W(\lambda^{q_W} w) - \arg_W(w) = q_W \arg \lambda - 2\pi p_W$$

for every $w \in W$. We can also show that both $q = q_W$ and $p = p_W$ are independent of W (see the discussion of (6.8) below). Therefore,

Corollary 2. *Let h be a Schröder map of a polynomial f of degree $d \geq 2$ at a repelling fixed point z_0 of f having multiplier λ . Assume*

(EL), and let q_∞, p, q be as above. Then there is a branch of $\arg \lambda$ so that

$$(1.15) \quad q_\infty \cdot \left(1 + \left(\frac{\arg \lambda - 2\pi p/q}{\log |\lambda|} \right)^2 \right) \leq 2\rho = 2 \frac{\log d}{\log |\lambda|}.$$

Inequality (1.15) was shown by Pommerenke [18] and Levin [11] in somewhat weaker form, by Yoccoz (unpublished) in an equivalent form to (1.15) under the assumption $J_0 = J(f)$, and by Jin [10] under (EL).

Fatou conjecture. We consider the *unicritical* polynomial family

$$\{f_c(z) = z^d + c; c \in \mathbb{C}\} \cong \mathbb{C},$$

and note that $C(f_c) \cap \mathbb{C} = \{0\}$ while $\infty \in AT(f_c)$. The *Mandelbrot set* and its *hyperbolicity locus* are defined as

$$\mathcal{C} := \{c \in \mathbb{C}; \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_c^k(0)| \neq \infty\}, \quad \mathcal{H} := \{c \in \mathbb{C}; AT(f_c) \cap \mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset\}$$

respectively. It is known that $\mathcal{H}$ is an open and closed subset of $\text{int } \mathcal{C}$ ([13, Theorem 4.4]).

We say that a covering selfmap g of $\mathbb{C}$, which is possibly ramified and not surjective, covers a point $a \in \mathbb{C}$ *completely* if there exists $r > 0$ such that $g^{-1}(U_r(a))$ has no unbounded component; g itself is *complete* if it covers all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ completely (cf. [2, I. 21A]).

Corollary 3. *Let $c \in \text{int } \mathcal{C}$. Then $c \notin \mathcal{H}$ if and only if every Schröder map of f_c is a complete covering selfmap of $\mathbb{C}$.*

We remark that it has been expected for a long time that

$$\text{int } \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{H};$$

this is known as a *Fatou conjecture* (cf. [13, p. 58]). Perhaps our characterization of $\mathcal{H}$ might be helpful in understanding this conjecture.

2. DYNAMICAL AND NEVANLINNA-THEORETIC RESULTS

Let f be a rational function on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ of degree $d \geq 2$.

Mañé's theorem and Siegel compacta. Consider the set $UH(f)$ in (1.2). By a standard argument (cf. [5, §2]), we have $UH(f) \cap F(f) = AT(f)$ and $UH(f) \cap J(f) \supset PB(f) \cup M(f)$, and Mañé's theorem below sharpens the second containment to equality, so that

$$UH(f) = AT(f) \cup PB(f) \cup M(f).$$

Theorem 2.1 ([12, Theorem II]). *For every $a \in J(f) \setminus (PB(f) \cup M(f))$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open neighborhood U of a such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \max_{V^{-k}} \text{diam } V^{-k} \leq \epsilon, \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \max_{V^{-k}} \deg(f^k : V^{-k} \rightarrow U) \leq d^{2d-2},$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max_{V^{-k}} \text{diam } V^{-k} = 0,$$

where V^{-k} ranges over all components of $f^{-k}(U)$.

We also use Pérez-Marco's theorem on indifferent fixed points.

Theorem 2.3 ([17, Theorem 1]). *Let ϕ be an analytic germ at an indifferent fixed point $x \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, which is univalent on an open set compactly containing a Jordan neighborhood $U \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ of x . Then there exists a continuum $K \subset \bar{U}$, which is called a Siegel compactum associated to (ϕ, U, x) , such that $x \in K = \phi(K) \not\subset U$ and $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$ is connected.*

Meromorphic maps of finite order. Let g be a meromorphic map on $\mathbb{C}$. The order of g is $\rho = \rho_g := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\log T(r, g)) / (\log r) \in [0, +\infty]$ (cf. [16, p. 215]). When $\rho_g < \infty$, as occurs here (compare (2.9) below), g is subject to two fundamental controls.

Theorem 2.4 (Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors, cf. [16, p. 303, p. 307]).

$$(2.5) \quad \#\{\mathcal{A} \in TS(g); \mathcal{A} \text{ is direct}\} \leq 2\rho \vee 1.$$

Moreover, if g is entire, then

$$(2.6) \quad \#\{\mathcal{A} \in TS(g); g(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathbb{C}\} \leq 2\rho.$$

Theorem 2.7 (Bergweiler-Eremenko [3, Theorem 1']). *If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\} \in TS(g)$ is indirect, then there exists $(c_k) \subset C(g) \setminus g^{-1}(g(\mathcal{A}))$ such that $c_k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $g(\mathcal{A})$ is a derived point of $g(C(g))$.*

We record one consequence of Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that $\rho_g < \infty$. If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\} \in TS(g)$ is direct and $r > 0$ is small enough, then for every $t \in (0, r)$, A_t is the only component of $g^{-1}(U_t(g(\mathcal{A})))$ contained in A_r .*

Proof. Otherwise, there exists $(r_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ decreasing to 0 such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a component $B_{r_{j+1}}$ of $g^{-1}(U_{r_{j+1}}(g(\mathcal{A})))$ other than $A_{r_{j+1}}$ and contained in A_{r_j} . Since $\mathcal{A}$ is direct, we may assume that $h(A_{r_1}) \not\supset g(\mathcal{A})$. Then for every $j \geq 2$, there exists $\mathcal{B}^j = \{B_r^j\} \in TS(g)$ such that $g(\mathcal{B}^j) = g(\mathcal{A})$ and $B_{r_j}^j = B_{r_j} \subset A_{r_{j-1}} \setminus A_{r_j}$, so that all $\mathcal{B}^j$ are not only direct but also mutually distinct. This contradicts (2.5). $\square$

Schröder maps. When g is a Schröder map h of f as in §1, Valiron calculated that

$$(2.9) \quad \rho_h = \frac{\log d^p}{\log |\lambda|} (< \infty)$$

(cf. [21, p. 160]), so those results may be applied to h .

The next theorem seems well known. We sketch a proof for completeness.

Theorem 2.10. *For every Schröder map h of f with $p = 1$,*

$$(2.11) \quad E(f) := \{a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}; f^{-2}(a) = \{a\}\} = \{a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}; h^{-1}(a) = \emptyset\},$$

$$(2.12) \quad h(C(h)) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f^k(C(f)) \setminus E(f).$$

Proof. We recall (cf. [14, Lemma 4.9] or [15, Theorem 2.3.3]) that $E(f) \subset C(f)$ and that

$$(2.13) \quad E(f) = \{a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}; \# \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-j}(a) < \infty\}.$$

Let $E_P(h)^*$ be the last term in (2.11). Suppose that $a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E(f)$. Then by (2.13), $\# \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-j}(a) = \infty (\geq 3)$, so that by Picard's theorem, $h(\mathbb{C}) \cap \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-j}(a) \neq \emptyset$. This with (1.1) implies that $h^{-1}(a) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E_P(h)^*$. Conversely, suppose that $a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E_P(h)^*$. Note that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, by repeated use of (1.1),

$$(2.14) \quad h^{-1}(f^{-j}(a)) = \lambda^{-j}(h^{-1}(a)).$$

If $a \in E(f)$, then by the first equality in (2.11), we would have $h^{-1}(a) = h^{-1}(f^{-2j}(a)) = \lambda^{-2j}(h^{-1}(a))$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence since $|\lambda| > 1$ and h is continuous at 0, we would have $0 \in h^{-1}(a)$, and $z_0 = h(0) = a \in (E(f) \subset) C(f)$. This contradicts that $f'(z_0) = \lambda \neq 0$.

We have shown (2.11). We can show (2.12) by repeated use of (1.1), the chain rule and the fact that $h'(w) \neq 0$ if $|w|$ is small enough. $\square$

Remark 2.15. We note another description of $E(f)$:

$$E(f) = E_P(h) := \{a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}; \# h^{-1}(a) < \infty\}.$$

By (2.11), $E(f) = E_P(h)^* \subset E_P(h)$. Conversely, suppose that $a \in E_P(h)$. Then by (2.14), we have for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\# h^{-1}(f^{-j}(a)) = \# h^{-1}(a) < \infty$. Hence $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-j}(a) \subset E_P(h)$, and $\# E_P(h) \leq 2$ by Picard's theorem. Thus by (2.13), we have $a \in E(f)$.

Since $E(f^k) = E(f)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $E(f) = E_P(h)^* = E_P(h)$ even when $p \geq 2$.

Corollary 2.16. *Let h be a Schröder map of f . Then*

- (i) *if f is a polynomial, then h is entire;*
- (ii) *every direct $\mathcal{A} \in TS(h)$ is periodic. If h is also entire, then every $\mathcal{A} \in TS(h)$ is periodic;*
- (iii) *for every indirect $\mathcal{A} \in TS(h)$, $h(\mathcal{A})$ is a derived point of the critical orbit $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f^k(C(f))$ of f .*

Proof. The assertion (i) follows from (2.11) with $a = \infty \in E(f)$, (ii) from Theorems 1.4 and 2.4, and (iii) from (2.12) and Theorem 2.7. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

For a meromorphic map g on $\mathbb{C}$, the following is straightforward: for $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\}, \mathcal{B} = \{B_r\} \in TS(g) (\subset \mathfrak{N})$,

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}.$$

Replacing f^p by f if necessary, we assume that $p = 1$. Put $\tilde{h} := h \circ \lambda^{-1}$. For every $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\} \in TS(h)$, we have $\{\lambda A_r\} =: \tilde{\mathcal{A}} =$

$\{\tilde{A}_r\} \in TS(\tilde{h})$. We show that there exists the (unique) $\mathcal{B} = \{B_r\} \in TS(f \circ \tilde{h}) (= TS(h))$ since $f \circ \tilde{h} = h$ with $\mathcal{B} \sim \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$: put $a := \tilde{h}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) (= h(\mathcal{A}))$ and $b := f(a)$. For every $r > 0$, there exists $s > 0$ such that $U_s(a) \subset f^{-1}(U_r(b))$, and let B_r be the component of $\tilde{h}^{-1}(f^{-1}(U_r(b)))$ with $\tilde{A}_s \subset B_r$. Then we have $\mathcal{B} := \{B_r\} \in \mathfrak{N}$. Conversely, for every $r > 0$, if $s > 0$ is small enough, then $(f(\tilde{h}(B_s)) \subset) U_s(b) \subset f(U_r(a))$, so that $\tilde{h}(B_s) \subset f^{-1}(f(U_r(a)))$. Since $\tilde{A}_t \subset B_s \cap \tilde{A}_r$ for some $t > 0$, we have $\emptyset \neq \tilde{h}(B_s) \cap U_r(a)$. Hence if $r > 0$ is so small that $f : U_r(a) \rightarrow f(U_r(a))$ is proper, then $\tilde{h}(B_s) \subset U_r(a)$, and so $(\emptyset \neq \tilde{A}_t \subset) B_s \subset \tilde{A}_r$. Hence we have $\mathcal{B} \sim \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and $\mathcal{B} \in TS(f \circ \tilde{h})$.

We define $\Lambda : TS(h) \rightarrow TS(h)$ by $\Lambda\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{B}$, which is injective by (3.1). Moreover, if $r > 0$ is small enough, then $U_r(a) \cap f^{-1}(b) = \{a\}$, which implies that $\mathcal{B} = \Lambda\mathcal{A}$ is direct if and only if so is $\mathcal{A}$.

We show the surjectivity of $\Lambda : TS(h) \rightarrow TS(f \circ \tilde{h}) = TS(h)$: let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_r\} \in TS(f \circ \tilde{h})$ and put $b := (f \circ \tilde{h})(\mathcal{B})$. For every $t > 0$, the inclusion $f(\tilde{h}(B_t)) \subset U_t(b)$ gives the component V_t^{-1} of $f^{-1}(U_t(b))$ with $\tilde{h}(B_t) \subset V_t^{-1}$. Then $V_s^{-1} \subset V_t^{-1}$ if $s < t$, and $\bigcap_{t>0} V_t^{-1}$ is a singleton $\{a\} \subset f^{-1}(b)$. Moreover, for every $r > 0$, there is $s > 0$ with $(\tilde{h}(B_s) \subset) V_s^{-1} \subset U_r(a)$, and hence there exists the component $\tilde{A}_r$ of $\tilde{h}^{-1}(U_r(a))$ such that $B_s \subset \tilde{A}_r$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := \{\tilde{A}_r\} \in \mathfrak{N}$. Conversely, for every $r > 0$, if $s > 0$ is small enough, then $((f \circ \tilde{h})(\tilde{A}_s) \subset) f(U_s(a)) \subset U_r(b)$, so that $(B_t \subset) \tilde{A}_s \subset B_r$ (for some $t > 0$). Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \sim \mathcal{B}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in TS(\tilde{h})$. Putting $\mathcal{A} := \{\lambda^{-1}\tilde{A}_r\} \in TS(\tilde{h} \circ \lambda) = TS(h)$, we have $\Lambda\mathcal{A} \sim \{\lambda(\lambda^{-1}\tilde{A}_r)\} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \sim \mathcal{B}$, so that $\Lambda\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ from (3.1). $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let f be a rational function on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ of degree $d \geq 2$, and h a Schröder map of f as in §1. Replacing f^p by f if necessary, we assume that period $p = 1$, and extend $\lambda : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to $\Lambda : TS(h) \rightarrow TS(h)$ as in Theorem 1.4. Fix $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\} \in TS(h)$, and put $a := h(\mathcal{A})$ and $U_r := U_r(a)$ ($r > 0$). From (1.5), $\Lambda^{-k}\mathcal{A} \sim \{\lambda^{-k}A_r\}_{r>0}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We introduce some notation. The inclusion $f^k(h(\lambda^{-k}A_r)) = h(A_r) \subset U_r$ gives the component V_r^{-k} of $f^{-k}(U_r)$ such that $h(\lambda^{-k}A_r) \subset V_r^{-k}$. From the proof of the surjectivity of Λ in §3, we have for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\bigcap_{r>0} V_r^{-k} = \{h(\Lambda^{-k}\mathcal{A})\}.$$

We first prove (1.8) and (1.9), leaving (1.7) to the end.

Periodic $\mathcal{A}$: in the case that $\mathcal{A}$ is periodic under Λ , without loss of generality, we assume that $\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$, so that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A} \sim \{\lambda^{-k}A_r\}_{r>0}$ and $\bigcap_{r>0} V_r^{-k} = \{a\}$.

When $f'(a) = 0$, we immediately have $a \in AT(f)$. Suppose that $f'(a) \neq 0$. If $r > 0$ is small enough, then for every $t \in (0, r]$, $f : V_t^{-1} \rightarrow$

U_t is univalent and fixes a , and we denote its inverse by

$$f_t^{-1} : U_t \rightarrow V_t^{-1}.$$

Since $\bigcap_{r>0} A_r = \emptyset$, diminishing $r > 0$ if necessary, we may suppose that

$$(4.1) \quad A_r \subset \{|w| \geq 1\}.$$

If a is a repelling or Siegel fixed point of f , then there exists $t \in (0, r)$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(f_r^{-1})^k$ is well defined on U_t and

$$h(\lambda^{-k} A_t) \subset V_t^{-k} = (f_r^{-1})^k(U_t) \subset U_r,$$

so that $(A_s \subset) \lambda^{-k} A_t \subset A_r$ (for some $s > 0$ since $\mathcal{A} \sim \{\lambda^{-k} A_r\}$), which contradicts (4.1) since always $|\lambda|^{-1} < 1$. Now we have proved (1.8).

If $a \in PB(f) \cup CM(f)$, then for every $t \in (0, r)$, Theorem 2.3 yields a Siegel compactum K_t associated to $(f_{t/2}^{-1}, U_{t/2}, a)$, so that $f_t^{-1}(K_t) = K_t \subset U_t$. For every component L of $h^{-1}(K_t)$ with $L \subset A_t$, the inclusion $f(h(\lambda^{-1}L)) = h(L) \subset K_t$ implies that

$$h(\lambda^{-1}L) \subset h(\lambda^{-1}A_t) \cap f^{-1}(K_t) \subset V_t^{-1} \cap f^{-1}(K_t) = f_t^{-1}(K_t) = K_t.$$

Let $\tilde{L}$ be the component of $h^{-1}(K_t)$ such that $\lambda^{-1}L \subset \tilde{L}$. Then $h(\lambda\tilde{L}) = f(h(\tilde{L})) \subset f(K_t) = f(f_t^{-1}(K_t)) = K_t$, so that $(L \subset) \lambda\tilde{L} \subset L$. From $\mathcal{A} = \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{A} \sim \{\lambda^{-1}A_r\}$, by decreasing $t \in (0, r)$ if necessary, we have

$$\tilde{L} = \lambda^{-1}L \subset \lambda^{-1}A_t \cap h^{-1}(K_t) \subset A_r \cap h^{-1}(U_t).$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ were also direct, then diminishing $r > 0$ if necessary, we even have $A_r \cap h^{-1}(U_t) = A_t$ from Lemma 2.8. Hence by induction, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda^{-k}L$ must be a component of $h^{-1}(K_t)$ such that $\lambda^{-k}L \subset A_t \subset A_r$. This contradicts (4.1) as before.

Thus we have proved (1.9) since every direct transcendental singularity of h is periodic under Λ from Corollary 2.16 (ii).

Indirect $\mathcal{A}$: we now assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is non-periodic and indirect, and show (1.7) by eliminating any other possibility for $a = h(\mathcal{A})$.

Suppose that $a \in F(f)$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let D^{-k} be the Fatou component of f with $h(\Lambda^{-k}\mathcal{A}) \in D^{-k}$, so that $f(D^{-(k+1)}) = D^{-k}$.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, D^{-k} is cyclic: otherwise, all D^{-k} for k large enough are not cyclic, and are mutually disjoint. Then since $\#C(f) < \infty$, we have for all large k , $D^{-k} \cap C(f) = \emptyset$, i.e., $D^{-k} \cap (\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} f^\ell(C(f))) = \emptyset$. Hence by Corollary 2.16 (iii), all $\Lambda^{-k}\mathcal{A}$ for k large enough are not only distinct but direct, which contradicts (2.5).

From this fact and Corollary 2.16 (iii), we must have one of two alternatives: $a = h(\mathcal{A}) \in AT(f)$ (desirable) or all D^{-k} are rotation domains of f . However, the second situation cannot occur: fix $r > 0$ with $U_r \subset D^0$. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $h(\Lambda^{-k}\mathcal{A}) \in V_r^{-k} \subset D^{-k}$, so that

$$h(\lambda^{-k}A_r) \subset D^{-k}.$$

If all D^{-k} were rotation domains, then $f^k : D^{-k} \rightarrow D^0$ is univalent for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $h'(0) \neq 0$, $h(\{|w| < t\})$ is univalent for $t > 0$ small enough, and then by repeated use of (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & (h : \{w \in A_r; |w| < |\lambda|^k t\} \rightarrow D_0) \\ &= (f^k : D^{-k} \rightarrow D^0) \circ (h : \{w \in \lambda^{-k} A_r; |w| < t\} \rightarrow D^{-k}) \circ \lambda^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

is univalent for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $A_r \cap C(h) = \emptyset$, which contradicts Theorem 2.7 since $\mathcal{A}$ is indirect.

Finally, suppose that $a \in J(f) \setminus (PB(f) \cup M(f))$. We apply Theorem 2.1 to $U = U_r(a)$ for $r > 0$ small enough. Fix $t > 0$ such that $h(\{|w| < t\})$ is univalent, and for this $t > 0$, put $\phi_t := (h(\{|w| < t\}))^{-1} : h(\{|w| < t\}) \rightarrow \{|w| < t\}$. Also fix $s > 0$ such that $U_{2s}(z_0) \subseteq h(\{|w| < t\})$ ($z_0 = h(0)$). For all large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, (2.2) shows that $\text{diam } V_r^{-k} < s$, and since $0 \in \phi_t(U_s(z_0))$,

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda^{-k} A_r \cap \phi_t(U_s(z_0)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence from $h(\lambda^{-k} A_r) \subset V_r^{-k}$, we have $V_r^{-k} \cap U_s(z_0) \neq \emptyset$, and since $\text{diam } V_r^{-k} < s$, $V_r^{-k} \subset U_{2s}(z_0)$. We recall again (4.2) and deduce that $\lambda^{-k} A_r \subset \phi_t(U_s(z_0))$. This cannot be true since A_r is unbounded. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Replacing f by an appropriate iterate if necessary, we assume that $N = 1$, so that $\lambda W = W$, $f(D) = D$ and $f(a) = a$.

Fix $w_0 \in W$, consider an arc $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow W$ with $\gamma(0) = w_0$ and $\gamma(1) = \lambda w_0$, and extend the domain of γ to $(-\infty, \infty)$ via the functional equation (1.10) (with $N = 1$). Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $s \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma(k + s) = \lambda^k(\gamma(s)) \in \lambda^k(\gamma([0, 1]))$ and $h(\gamma(k + s)) \in f^k(h(\gamma([0, 1])))$. Since $\gamma([0, 1]) \subset (W \subset) \mathbb{C}^*$ and $h(\gamma([0, 1])) \subset D$, we have $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \infty$ and $h(\gamma(t)) \rightarrow a$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus γ is as described in Theorem 2, to which $\mathcal{A}^\gamma \in TS(h)$ may be associated.

From now on, suppose that $a \in AT(f)$.

If $\gamma(0) = w_0 \in W \cap h^{-1}(a)$, then by $f(a) = a$ and (1.1), $\gamma(k) = \lambda^k w_0 \in h^{-1}(a)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, since $\gamma(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\gamma$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathcal{A}^\gamma$ is indirect.

Next, suppose that $f^{-1}(a) \cap D \neq \{a\}$. First, putting the *backward orbits* $\mathcal{BO} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (f : D \rightarrow D)^{-n}(a)$ of a in D , we have $\#\mathcal{BO} = \infty$: otherwise, since $\mathcal{BO}$ is $(f : D \rightarrow D)^{-1}$ -invariant, every $a' \in \mathcal{BO}$ must be periodic, and then a' must equal a , so that $f^{-1}(a) \cap D = \{a\}$, which is a contradiction. Second, we also have $\#(D \setminus h(W)) < \infty$: for each $b \in D \setminus h(W)$, there exists $\mathcal{B} = \{B_r\} \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that for all small $r > 0$, B_r is a component of $U_r(b)$ with $B_r \subset W$. We claim that $\bigcap_{r>0} B_r = \emptyset$: otherwise, $\bigcap_{r>0} B_r$ must be a singleton in $h^{-1}(b)$, and hence $h(W) \supset h(\bigcap_{r>0} B_r) = \{b\}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{B} \in TS(h)$ with $h(\mathcal{B}) = b$, and $\mathcal{B}$ must be direct since $b \notin h(W)$. This

with (2.5) yields $\#(D \setminus h(W)) \leq \#(\text{direct singularities of } h) < \infty$. Consequently, $\#(\mathcal{BO} \cap h(W)) = \infty$, which provides $w_1 \in W$ such that $f^n(h(w_1)) = a$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $h(\lambda^n w_1) = a$ from (1.1), so that $\lambda^n w_1 \in \lambda^n W \cap h^{-1}(a) = W \cap h^{-1}(a)$. Hence $W \cap h^{-1}(a) \neq \emptyset$.

Finally, suppose that some $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\} \in TS(h)$ with $h(\mathcal{A}) = a$ is indirect and that $A_r \subset W$ for some $r > 0$. Then by Theorem 2.7, there is $c \in A_r \cap C(h) \setminus h^{-1}(a) \subset W$. Fix $t > 0$ such that $h(\{|w| < t\})$ is univalent (using $h'(0) \neq 0$), and fix $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^{-\ell}c \in \{|w| < t\}$ (using $|\lambda| > 1$). By (1.1) and the chain rule,

$$0 = h'(c) = (f^\ell \circ h \circ \lambda^{-\ell})'(c) = \prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1} f'(f^i(h(\lambda^{-\ell}c))) \cdot h'(\lambda^{-\ell}c) \cdot \lambda^{-\ell},$$

which implies that $f^i(h(\lambda^{-\ell}c)) \in C(f)$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, \ell-1\}$. For this i , from (1.1) and $f(a) = a \neq h(c)$, we also have

$$a \neq f^i(h(\lambda^{-\ell}c)) = h(\lambda^{-(\ell-i)}c) \in h(\lambda^{-(\ell-i)}W) = h(W) \subset D.$$

Hence $D \cap C(f) \neq \{a\}$. $\square$

6. PROOFS OF COROLLARIES 2 AND 3

Proof of Corollary 2. For a transcendental entire function g and $\mathcal{A} = \{A_r\} \in TS(g)$, we denote by $A_r^*(0)$ the component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus A_r$ containing 0 (if exists), and say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *non-annular* if $A_r^*(0)$ is unbounded for all small $r > 0$. Note that if $\mathcal{A}^j = \{A_r^j\} \in TS(g)$ with $g(\mathcal{A}^j) = \infty$ ($j = 1, \dots, q'$) are mutually distinct, then $\{\mathcal{A}^j\}_j$ must be *totally separated* in that

$$(6.1) \quad \bigcup_{i \neq j} A_r^i \subset (A_r^j)^*(0) \quad (j = 1, \dots, q')$$

for all small $r > 0$. (6.1) implies that when $q' \geq 2$, all $\mathcal{A}^j$ ($j = 1, \dots, q'$) are non-annular.

To prove (1.12), we need a spiral version of Ahlfors's theorem (see our discussion of (1.12)), which we formulate here as Theorem 6.2; the argument which seems useful to us is from Jenkins [9, §3] and Hayman [7, Theorem 8.21].

Theorem 6.2. *Let g be an entire function of finite order ρ , consider mutually distinct $\mathcal{A}^j \in TS(h)$ with $g(\mathcal{A}^j) = \infty$ ($j = 1, \dots, q'$), and suppose that $\mathcal{A}^1$ is non-annular when $q' = 1$. Then for every asymptotic arc γ of h to which $\mathcal{A}^1$ is associated,*

$$(6.3) \quad q' \cdot \left(1 + \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\arg \gamma(t)}{\log |\gamma(t)|} \right)^2 \right) \leq 2\rho.$$

Remark 6.4. The assumption that $\mathcal{A}^j$ is non-annular is required because we work with the image of A_r^j under a branch of logarithm in the

proof. An entire function of order $< 1/2$ shows that such a condition is essential.

We have already hinted at the proof of Corollary 2 in §1.

By Eremenko and Levin, each component W of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$ is periodic under λ . Thus $W \subset h^{-1}(F(f))$, $0 \in \overline{W} \cap h^{-1}(J(f))$ (since $|\lambda| > 1$) and

$$(6.5) \quad 0 \in \partial W.$$

For a given W , Theorem 2 yields an asymptotic arc $\gamma_W : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow W$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(\gamma_W(t)) = \infty$, to which $\mathcal{A}^W = \{A_r^W\} \in TS(h)$ may be associated. We check that $\{\mathcal{A}^W\}_W$ is totally separated, and that (EL) implies that all $\mathcal{A}^W$ are non-annular. Then Theorem 6.2 may be applied to $\{\mathcal{A}^W\}_W$, and all γ_W satisfy (1.12) (for $q' = q_\infty$).

For all small $r > 0$, $U_r(\infty) \subset D_\infty$, so that

$$(6.6) \quad A_r^W \subset W.$$

Hence (6.5) and (6.6) show that $\{\mathcal{A}^W\}_W$ is totally separated.

Let $\tilde{J}_0$ be the component of $h^{-1}(J(f))$ containing 0. Since $W \subset h^{-1}(F(f))$, $\tilde{J}_0 \cap W = \emptyset$. Hence from (6.5) and (6.6), we have

$$(6.7) \quad \tilde{J}_0 \subset (A_r^W)^*(0),$$

using the notation introduced at the beginning of this section. By the f -invariance of $J(f)$ and (1.1), we also have

$$\lambda \tilde{J}_0 \subset \tilde{J}_0.$$

From now on, we assume (EL), which is equivalent to

$$\tilde{J}_0 \neq \{0\}$$

since h is analytic near 0 (and $h(0) = z_0$). Then $\tilde{J}_0$ is unbounded (since $|\lambda| > 1$) and $\mathcal{A}^W$ is non-annular (by (6.7)).

The fact that $\tilde{J}_0$ is unbounded (when (EL) holds) also implies that W can contain no closed curve winding around 0, so that there is a single-valued branch $\arg_W(\cdot)$ of $\arg(\cdot)$ on W .

Using Theorem 2, we may assume in addition that γ_W satisfies (1.10), and hence (1.13). For each W and each $R > 0$, let $t_W(R)$ be the least $t > 0$ such that $|\gamma_W(t)| = R$, and put $P_W(R) := \gamma_W(t_W(R))$. The set $\{P_W(R)\}_W$ has a natural cyclic order on the (counterclockwise-oriented) circle $\{|w| = R\}$, which induces a cyclic order of components W of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$ such that W is the j -th component of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$ if and only if $P_W(R)$ is the j -th point in $\{P_W(R)\}_W$. Let us denote by W_j the j -th component of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$ ($j = 0, \dots, q_\infty - 1$). Replacing $\gamma_{\lambda W}$ if necessary, we may further assume that for every W , $\gamma_{\lambda W} = \lambda \cdot \gamma_W$, so that $P_{\lambda W}(|\lambda|R) = \lambda \cdot P_W(R)$. This yields the unique $p_\infty = p_\infty(h) \in \{0, \dots, q_\infty - 1\}$ such that for each $j = 0, \dots, q_\infty - 1$,

$$(6.8) \quad \lambda W_j = W_{j+p_\infty \pmod{q_\infty}}.$$

Hence, recalling that $q_W = \min\{N \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda^N W = W\}$, we observe that $q := q_W$ is independent of W and that $q_\infty/q =: m_\infty = m_\infty(h) \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of cycles of components of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$ under λ . We also recall p_W from (1.14), and observe that $p_W \cdot q_\infty = q_W \cdot p_\infty$. Hence $p_W = p_\infty/m_\infty =: p$ is also independent of W .

Now the proof of Corollary 2 is completed by the spiral inequality (1.12) for γ_W together with the calculation

$$\frac{\arg_W(\gamma_W(k))}{\log |\gamma_W(k)|} = \frac{k(q \arg \lambda - 2\pi p) + \arg_W(\gamma_W(0))}{\log(|\lambda|^{k \cdot q} |\gamma_W(0)|)}$$

from (1.13) and (1.14) for any W . $\square$

Remark 6.9. The fact that $\infty \in D_\infty$ also implies that every asymptotic arc $\gamma : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(\gamma(t)) = \infty$ is contained in some component W of $h^{-1}(D_\infty)$. Hence to show (1.12) for this γ , we can always take γ as γ_W from the above proof.

Proof of Corollary 3. The following, which uses standard ideas but appears to be new, may have independent interest. The hypothesis of finite order is essential. For completeness, we include the proof in §7.

Theorem 3. *Let g be an entire function of finite order ρ . If g does not cover $a \in \mathbb{C}$ completely (in the same sense as discussed before Corollary 3), then $a \in g(TS(g))$.*

By Corollary 2.16 (i), every Schröder map h of f_c must be entire, and hence by Corollary 2.16 (ii), every $\mathcal{A} \in TS(h)$ is periodic.

Let $c \in \text{int } \mathcal{C}$ (recall $\mathcal{C}$ from the end of §1). Then f_c has no indifferent periodic point (cf. [13, Theorem 4.8]), and hence from (1.8) of Theorem 1, $h(TS(h)) \subset AT(f_c)$ for every Schröder map h of f_c .

If a Schröder map h of f_c does not cover $a \in \mathbb{C}$ completely, then $a \in h(TS(h))$ by Theorem 3, so that as we just observed, we have even $a \in AT(f_c)$, which implies that $c \in \mathcal{H}$. Conversely, if $c \in \mathcal{H}$, then there is $a \in AT(f_c) \cap \mathbb{C}$, and by Corollary 1, there is a Schröder map h of f_c such that $a \in h(TS(h))$. Clearly, h cannot cover a completely. $\square$

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

The hypotheses guarantee that g is transcendental. A consequence of this (with the identity theorem) is that for every $r > 0$, the cardinality of a subset of $S(r) := \{|z| = r\}$ on which either $|g|$ or $\arg g \pmod{2\pi}$ is constant must be finite.

We may suppose that $a = 0$, and consider a sequence $(r_n) \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $r_n \searrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and a sequence of unbounded components Δ_n of preimages of $\mathbb{D}_n = \{|w| < r_n\}$ under g . It is enough to show that if $p > 2\rho$, then Δ_n ($n = 1, \dots, p$) cannot be mutually disjoint.

Suppose that Δ_n ($n = 1, \dots, p$) were mutually disjoint. Increasing each r_n slightly if necessary, we can assume that no critical value of

g lies on $\bigcup_{n=1}^p \{|w| = r_n\}$. From the observation above, the boundary $\partial\Delta_n$ ($n = 1, \dots, p$) consists of finitely many (analytic) Jordan arcs whose endpoints are both $z = \infty$.

The next lemma contains the main idea.

Lemma 7.1. *Let F be a simply-connected unbounded component of $\{|z| > r\} \setminus (\Delta_m \cup \Delta_n)$, where $m \neq n$, whose boundary consists of a subarc of $S(r)$ for some $r > 0$ and unbounded Jordan subarcs γ of $\partial\Delta_m$ and γ' of $\partial\Delta_n$, each of which has an endpoint on $S(r)$. Then g is unbounded in F .*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that g is bounded in F . Then by Lindelöf's theorem [16, p. 75], if $g(z)$ converges as $z \rightarrow \infty$ along two asymptotic arcs in $\overline{F}$ and the limits are both in $\mathbb{C}$, then they must coincide.

At once from the Cauchy-Riemann equations, (any fixed branch of) $\arg g$ (not mod 2π) varies monotonically along each of the arcs $\gamma, \gamma' \subset \overline{F}$. If the variation of $\arg g$ were bounded on each of γ and γ' , then $g(z)$ converges as $z \rightarrow \infty$ along each of them, and by Lindelöf's theorem, the limits must be same, which cannot be since $r_m \neq r_n$.

Thus, with no loss of generality, we may choose any fixed branch of $\arg g$ on γ , and it will be unbounded on γ . On the other hand, there are (in fact uncountably many) distinct $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that no critical value w satisfies $\arg w = \varphi_1$ or $\varphi_2 \pmod{2\pi}$.

For each $j = 1, 2$, we may choose infinitely many mutually distinct $z_{jk} \in \gamma$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) with $\arg g(z_{jk}) = \varphi_j \pmod{2\pi}$. For each z_{jk} , there exists the (maximal) lift $\Gamma_{jk} \subset \mathbb{C}_z$ by g of the radial ray $(r_m, \infty) \ni t \mapsto te^{i\varphi_j} \in \mathbb{C}_w$ with an endpoint z_{jk} . Since the ray $\{w \in \mathbb{C}; \arg w = \varphi_j\}$ is free of critical values, $\Gamma_{jk} \cap \Gamma_{jk'} = \emptyset$ if $k \neq k'$. In addition, as we noted in the beginning of this section, Γ_{jk} can intersect $S(r)$ for at most finitely many k . On the other hand, for every k , Γ_{jk} cannot intersect $\gamma' \cup \gamma$ since $|(g|F)| > r_m$ near γ and $|(g|F)| > r_n$ near γ' . Consequently, for all large k , the maximal lift Γ_{jk} is a Jordan arc in F tending to ∞ . Then since g is bounded in F , there must exist $t = t_{jk} \in (r_m, \infty)$ such that $g(z) \rightarrow te^{i\varphi_j}$ as $\Gamma_{jk} \ni z \rightarrow \infty$.

However, by Lindelöf's theorem, all the limits coincide for $j = 1, 2$ and all large k , so that $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Now we complete the proof of the theorem. Once we fix $r > 0$ large enough, we obtain at least p of mutually disjoint domains F satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 7.1. However,

Lemma 7.2. *If $p > 2\rho$, then g must be bounded on at least one of these F .*

Proof. We need a standard estimate of *harmonic measure* ω (cf. [16, XI. §4]). In the following, $C_1, C_2 (> 2 \log 2), C_3, C_4$ denote some positive constants independent of $R > 0$:

Let $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary domain intersecting $S(t)$ so that $S(t) \setminus F \neq \emptyset$ for every $t \geq r$, and let $\theta_F(t)$ the angular measure of $F \cap S(t)$. Then

$$\omega(\cdot, F, F \cap S(R)) < C_1 \exp \left(-\pi \int_{2r}^{R/2} \frac{dt}{t\theta_F(t)} \right) \text{ on } F \cap A(2r, R/2)$$

as soon as $R/2 > 2r$, where $A(r, R) := \{r < |z| < R\}$. Let $F_1, \dots, F_\ell \subset \mathbb{C}$ ($\ell \in \mathbb{N}$) be arbitrary mutually disjoint domains intersecting $S(t)$ for every $t \geq r$, with angular measure $\theta_j(t) := \theta_{F_j}(t)$ as above. Note that $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \theta_j(t) \leq 2\pi$ for all such t . Then by an argument involving the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we find some $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and a sequence $(R_k) \subset \mathbb{R}$ tending to ∞ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that for every $R = R_k$,

$$\pi \int_{2r}^{R/2} \frac{dt}{t\theta_j(t)} \geq \frac{\ell}{2} \left(\log \frac{R}{r} - C_2 \right).$$

Applying these estimates to p of our domains F , we conclude that for one of these F , there is a sequence $(R_k) \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $R_k \nearrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that for each $R = R_k$,

$$\omega(\cdot, F, F \cap S(R)) < C_3(R/r)^{-p/2} \text{ on } F \cap A(2r, R/2),$$

while (since (r_m) decreases,) we have $\log |g| < \log r_1 + (\max_{S(r)} \log |g|) < C_4$ on ∂F . Since g is entire, we also have

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \max_{|z|=r} \log^+ |g(z)|}{\log r},$$

from which, for all small $\epsilon \in (0, (p - 2\rho)/2)$, we have for all large R , $\log |g| < R^{\rho+\epsilon}$ on $S(R)$. Thus by the two-constants theorem, for all large $R = R_k$,

$$\log |g| \leq C_4 + R^{\rho+\epsilon} \cdot C_3(R/r)^{-p/2} < 2C_4 \text{ on } F \cap A(2r, R/2).$$

Hence g is bounded on F . □

Acknowledgement. The authors thank the Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki for providing a stimulating atmosphere and making this collaboration possible. The first author was on sabbatical from Purdue University, and the second author was supported by the exchange program of scientists between the Academy of Finland and the Japan Society for Promotion of Science. The second author also thanks the Purdue Mathematics Department for supporting his visit to Purdue, where this was completed.

Supplement by D. Drasin. Since this issue is dedicated to Walter Hayman, I want to indicate the significant influence he has had in my career. I am sure that my experiences are not unusual, but usually there are no opportunities to make such comments.

His book *Meromorphic Functions* went through two editions, and even today may be the most efficient introduction to classical Nevanlinna theory in one complex variable (it was also the first text in English!). The first two chapters develop the fundamental theorems established by Rolf Nevanlinna in the 1920s, but every other chapter was centered on a topic which quickly led the reader to open questions, whose resolution has been a major activity for 30-plus years (Chapter 4 was my focus). His series of problem compilations, an activity beginning a few years before MF, efficiently covered a large variety of fields related to one complex variable (including some higher-dimensional questions). In those pre-internet days, assembling the material from colleagues all over the world required special effort. Until recent times (circa 1990) international contact was difficult in many countries, and his visits, letters and collections played an important role in supporting colleagues and students from these countries.

In his dealings with colleagues, he was always encouraging, and raising interesting questions for study. We met in 1967, Montreal, where I asked him a question about minimum modulus. Several weeks later, I received his example, which was the kernel of several of my later works (some joint with Dan Shea). At conferences he always had time to talk to participants, in a way that was always enthusiastic, supportive; he treated everyone with the same courtesy and spirit.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors. Untersuchungen zur theorie der konformen abbildung und der ganzen funktionen. *Acta Soc. Sci. Fenn. (N.S.) A.*, 1(9):1–40, 1930.
- [2] L. V. Ahlfors and L. Sario. *Riemann surfaces*. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 26. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960.
- [3] W. Bergweiler and A. Eremenko. On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order. *Revista math. iberoamericana*, 11:355–373, 1995.
- [4] L. Carleson, P. W. Jones, and J.-C. Yoccoz. Julia and John. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)*, 25(1):1–30, 1994.
- [5] D. Drasin and Y. Okuyama. Equidistribution and Nevanlinna theory. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 39(4):603–613, 2007.
- [6] A. È. Erëmenko and G. M. Levin. Periodic points of polynomials. *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.*, 41(11):1467–1471, 1581, 1989.
- [7] W. K. Hayman. *Subharmonic functions. Vol. 2*, volume 20 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs*. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], London, 1989.
- [8] F. Iversen. *Reserches sur les fonctions inverses des fonctions méromorphes*. PhD thesis, Helsingfors, 1914.
- [9] J. A. Jenkins. On Ahlfors’ spiral generalization of the Denjoy conjecture. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 36(1):41–44, 1987.
- [10] T. Jin. Unstable manifolds and the Yoccoz inequality for complex Hénon mappings. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 52(3):727–751, 2003.
- [11] G. M. Levin. On Pommerenke’s inequality for the eigenvalues of fixed points. *Colloq. Math.*, 62(1):167–177, 1991.

- [12] R. Mañé. On a theorem of Fatou. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)*, 24(1):1–11, 1993.
- [13] C. T. McMullen. *Complex dynamics and renormalization*, volume 135 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.
- [14] J. Milnor. *Dynamics in one complex variable*, volume 160 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, third edition, 2006.
- [15] S. Morosawa, Y. Nishimura, M. Taniguchi, and T. Ueda. *Holomorphic dynamics*, volume 66 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. Translated from the 1995 Japanese original and revised by the authors.
- [16] R. Nevanlinna. *Analytic functions*. Translated from the second German edition by Phillip Emig. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 162. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [17] R. Pérez-Marco. Fixed points and circle maps. *Acta Math.*, 179(2):243–294, 1997.
- [18] C. Pommerenke. On conformal mapping and iteration of rational functions. *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, 5(2-4):117–126, 1986.
- [19] F. Przytycki and A. Zdunik. Density of periodic sources in the boundary of a basin of attraction for iteration of holomorphic maps: geometric coding trees technique. *Fund. Math.*, 145(1):65–77, 1994.
- [20] N. Steinmetz. *Rational iteration*, volume 16 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1993. Complex analytic dynamical systems.
- [21] G. Valiron. *Fonctions analytiques*. Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1954.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE,
IN 47907, USA

E-mail address: drasin@math.purdue.edu

DEPARTMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE SCIENCES, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY, KYOTO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KYOTO 606-
8585, JAPAN

E-mail address: okuyama@kit.ac.jp